

Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/050,013		KHASELEV ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Edna Wong		1753	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Edna Wong. (3)_____.

(2) Ronald Corbett. (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 26 April 2004.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 6.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Examiner agreed that it was okay to put "a tertiary amine" back into claim 1 and to change the word "Ionic" to its lowercase form.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/050,013

Applicant(s)

KHASELEV ET AL.

Examiner

Edna Wong

Art Unit

1753

All Participants:(1) Edna Wong.(2) Charles W. Gaines.**Status of Application:** _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 17 June 2004**Time:** 9:18 am**Type of Interview:**☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:


Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

*Yanada et al. (US 2002/0104763 A1), esp., page 2, paragraph [0027], "trimethylamine salt".***Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***Authorization for an Examiner's Amendment was granted by Applicants representative to amend claim 1. Details of the Examiner's Amendment are set forth herewith.***Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)_____
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)